

296462

January 4, 2021

Clerk

Public Service Commission South Carolina

102 Executive Center

Columbia SC 29210

RECEIVED
JAN 11 2021
PSC SC
MAIL / DMS

Re Protest Petition for Intervention Dominion Energy Docket 2020- 125 E

On December 29, 2020 I Anthony G Bryant submitted within the US District Court of South Carolina supported documents for Bryant v FCC after discovery that day a South Carolina Law Enforcement Division (SLED) investigation would be conducted within the City of Charleston. Due to the fact that the US Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit has not rendered an Order regarding Bryant v Executive Office of the US Attorneys based upon a Pre-Injunction Order by US District Court of South Carolina based upon a 1977 case Graham v Riddle denying under the First Amendment a Right to Redress Grievances under US District Court of South Carolina Rule 73 authority of a US Magistrate in Error regarding a Notice by IRS referring this commenter to the three credit bureaus under the jurisdiction of the Federal Trade Commission expressed on the Notice was to contact local police and Sheriffs Office under US District Court of South Carolina Rule 64 the seizure of a person or property or both under this federal law overlooked by the US Magistrate the IRS expressed that " a person can be wrongfully accused of a crime they did not commit. Furthermore, the commenter cannot protest for the limitation of access to the internet and/or inability to make in person protest to the commission. In as much as this protest questions the commission commitment to Fair Notice for those again with no access to the internet based upon the criteria to protest under commission procedures. How can Dominion Energy request a Rate Increase during an Order 589 of Supreme Court of United States and South Carolina Supreme Court limiting Jury Trials were person petitioning the Commissions Ruling would have a right to petition the Courts? What has the Commission done to revise the hardship placed as a result of the Base Load Review Act approved by the South Carolina General Assembly that exposed all rate payers to these hardships? Why did the Commission reevaluate the arrangement with South Carolina Department of Commerce under the Planning and Enabling Act of 1994 under the terms "Growth" and "Economic Development "providing for Corporate Tier Systems that have left many local, county, and state budgets not receiving apportioned taxable distribution? This protest is based upon rate payers having to vote on Sales Tax Referendums for Transportation, Parks, Affordable Housing, and Schools if Dominions Energy can charge rate based upon growth within their area of service by default based upon Economic Development Entities these growing areas should be exempt from any such rate increases? On December 17 2020 a complaint was filed to South Carolina Inspector General Office regarding the Financial and Behavioral Controls of South Carolina Law Enforcement Division (SLED) and its working with Direct Lobbyist such as Commission on Law Enforcement Agencies Inc regarding the reaccreditation of two law enforcement agencies in 2016 Charleston County Sheriffs Office and 2017 City of Charleston Police Department both held within Judicial Courts Chambers on Camera since it was held in a public

2

building therefore a Freedom of Information request was made to both agencies to this day neither agencies provided the information requested via FOIA. The concern of the commenter is does Dominion Energy and other via direct lobbyist can conduct indirect initiatives to deny or disqualify protest to the Commission? For Example, Dominion Energy gave a \$95,000.00 dollar grant to a Civil Rights Group associated with two public entities that a complaint was filed expressed within this protest to the Commission for the record I am not apart of any Civil Rights Group and my experiences have been reduce to unfair notice, Judicial textualism, criminal discovery abuse and qualified immunity. Sorry for the misspelled words, grammatical errors, and improper large alignments.

Respectfully Submitted


Anthony G Bryant

Charleston South Carolina 29403

CC. U.S. District Court
of SC (Charleston)

FILED: July 13, 2020

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 20-1697 (L)
(2:20-cv-01822-MBS-MGB)

ANTHONY G. BRYANT

Plaintiff - Appellant

v.

US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS; CHARLESTON DEPARTMENT OF
DEFENSE; CITY OF CHARLESTON MAYOR; CHAIRMAN OF PUBLIC
SAFETY; COMMITTEE CITY OF CHARLESTON

Defendants - Appellees

ORDER

The court grants leave to proceed in forma pauperis.

For the Court--By Direction

/s/ Patricia S. Connor, Clerk



Department of the Treasury
Internal Revenue Service

PO BOX 9052

ANDOVER MA 01810-9052

In reply refer to: 084712478

Feb. 08, 2012 LTR 4402C 3

000000 00

000273

BODC: SB

ANTHONY G BRYANT

CHARLESTON SC 29403

000471

Dear Taxpayer:

WHY WE'RE SENDING YOU THIS LETTER

Thank you for the documentation you provided to substantiate your claim of identity theft. We have reviewed and verified the documents you submitted and have noted your account with an Identity Theft Indicator.

WHAT YOU SHOULD DO NOW

Please continue to file all federal tax returns as you normally would.

We suggest that you monitor your financial accounts. If you notice a suspicious or unusual activity on any of your financial accounts, you should report it immediately by doing the following:

- 1) Contact your financial institution(s) if you notice or have noticed suspicious or unusual activity on your financial account(s).
- 2) Contact the fraud department of one of the three major credit bureaus:

Equifax
800-525-6285

www.equifax.com

Experian
888-397-3742

www.experian.com

TransUnion
800-680-7289

www.transunion.com

- 3) Report any fraudulent activity to your local police or sheriff's department. Make sure the police report lists the accounts with the suspicious activity. Get a copy of the police report, which is called an "identity theft report", as you are allowed to under the Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA). Keep the phone number of your investigator handy and give it to creditors and others who require verification that you were a victim of identity theft. Credit card companies and banks may require you to show the report in order to verify that you were a victim of identity theft.
- 4) File a complaint with the Federal Trade Commission in one of the following ways:
 - By telephone -- Call FTC's Identity Theft Hotline: 1-877-438-4338
 - On-line at -- www.ftc.gov/idtheft
 - By mail -- Write to: Identity Theft Clearinghouse

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2021 January 11 7:17 AM - SCPSC:2020125-EP Page 4 of 6

0847124781
Feb. 08, 2012 LTR 4402C 3
00 00
00027307

ANTHONY G BRVANT
CHARLESTON SC 29403

Federal Trade Commission
600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20580

Please note that the IRS will not initiate contact with you via email and does not request personal information through email. If you receive an unsolicited e-mail communication claiming to be from the IRS, please forward the original message to: phishing@irs.gov. Complete instructions are available at www.irs.gov.

You can contact us at 1-800-908-4490 from 8:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. if you need further guidance regarding identity theft. If you require assistance related to a tax account issue and have received a notice or letter, please contact the telephone number printed on the notice or letter as the employees at that number will be most familiar with your situation.

The Taxpayer Advocate Service (TAS) is an independent organization within the IRS whose employees assist taxpayers who are experiencing economic harm, who are seeking help in resolving tax problems that have not been resolved through normal channels, or who believe that an IRS system or procedure is not working as it should.

You can contact the Taxpayer Advocate Service by calling the toll-free TAS case intake line at 1877 ASK-TAS1 (1-877-275-8271) or TTY/TDD 1-800-829-4059 to determine whether you are eligible for assistance. You can also call or write to your local taxpayer advocate, whose phone number and address are listed in your local telephone directory and in Publication 1546, Taxpayer Advocate Service -- Your Voice at the IRS. You can file Form 911, Request for Taxpayer Advocate Service Assistance (And Application for Taxpayer Assistance Order), or ask an IRS employee to complete it on your behalf. For more information, go to www.irs.gov/advocate.

Sincerely yours,

Christopher McCarthy

Christopher McCarthy
Department Manager, Department 4

Enclosure:

4535, Identity Theft Prevention and Victim Assistance

~~June 1, 2001~~
J

18-7296

Refits sought for East Side in arena project

Mayor, Campbell discuss ideas for affordable housing

By BARTELME
Staff and Courier Staff



Mayor Robert Clement and Councilman Kwadjo Bryant, along with others involved in the East Side arena project, discussed ideas for affordable housing on Friday in a presentation to local business, banking and government officials. The project, which would involve the demolition of the old Piggly Wiggly grocery store and the construction of a new arena, is a major development for the East Side neighborhood. Mayor Clement said the project hinges on how the city addresses gentrification and other problems in nearby neighborhoods. "Under the right circumstances, the arena can be a boon to the community and the East Side," Campbell said.

fort. Piggly Wiggly owns a grocery store on Meeting Street. The arena proposal calls for the store to be demolished and rebuilt one block north of Campbell and Bryant said the grocery chain also could give money to affordable housing programs. Robert Clement, a developer involved in private sector aspects of the project, could raise money through development fees. The College of Charleston could become more involved in neighborhood youth programs. Among other things, the city of Charleston could ensure that minority-owned companies are involved in the construction. After the meeting, Bryant said that the community should set a \$35 million fund-raising goal for affordable housing. "We could do a lot of good with kind of money. Everyone mayor, the developers."

Council members 'playing race card' on county attorney's pay issue

On a Jan. 11 editorial column, the Charleston County Board of Zoning Appeals was asked to lead the planning of services for the people of the Gulf Coast 13 counties after the storm. On our first day in office, we were told that the people of the Gulf Coast 13 counties after the storm. On our first day in office, we were told that the people of the Gulf Coast 13 counties after the storm. On our first day in office, we were told that the people of the Gulf Coast 13 counties after the storm.

On a Jan. 11 editorial column, the Charleston County Board of Zoning Appeals was asked to lead the planning of services for the people of the Gulf Coast 13 counties after the storm. On our first day in office, we were told that the people of the Gulf Coast 13 counties after the storm. On our first day in office, we were told that the people of the Gulf Coast 13 counties after the storm.

On a Jan. 11 editorial column, the Charleston County Board of Zoning Appeals was asked to lead the planning of services for the people of the Gulf Coast 13 counties after the storm. On our first day in office, we were told that the people of the Gulf Coast 13 counties after the storm. On our first day in office, we were told that the people of the Gulf Coast 13 counties after the storm.

On a Jan. 11 editorial column, the Charleston County Board of Zoning Appeals was asked to lead the planning of services for the people of the Gulf Coast 13 counties after the storm. On our first day in office, we were told that the people of the Gulf Coast 13 counties after the storm. On our first day in office, we were told that the people of the Gulf Coast 13 counties after the storm.

On a Jan. 11 editorial column, the Charleston County Board of Zoning Appeals was asked to lead the planning of services for the people of the Gulf Coast 13 counties after the storm. On our first day in office, we were told that the people of the Gulf Coast 13 counties after the storm. On our first day in office, we were told that the people of the Gulf Coast 13 counties after the storm.

On a Jan. 11 editorial column, the Charleston County Board of Zoning Appeals was asked to lead the planning of services for the people of the Gulf Coast 13 counties after the storm. On our first day in office, we were told that the people of the Gulf Coast 13 counties after the storm. On our first day in office, we were told that the people of the Gulf Coast 13 counties after the storm.

RECEIVED
23 2006
Municipal Clerk's Office

1956 December 2006
Robert H. Harkness
un